C	ase 2:09-cv-03088 AJW Document 1	Filed 05/01/2009 Page 1 of 21
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KENT B. GOSS (State Bar No. 131499 kgoss@orrick.com CHRISTOPHER J. CHAUDOIR (State cchaudoir@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLI 777 South Figueroa Street Suite 3200 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: +1-213-629-2020 Facsimile: +1-213-612-2499 Attorneys for Plaintiffs THOUGHT CONVERGENCE, INC. a INTELLIGENCE, LLC	e Bar No. 198444) FFE LLP
10		RICT OF CALIFORNIA
11		CV09-3088 FUL
12	THOUGHT CONVERGENCE, INC., a Delaware Corporation and NAME INTELLIGENCE, LLC, a Delaware	Case No. CV
13	INTELLIGENCE, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	COMPLAINT FOR:
14	Plaintiff,	1) BREACH OF SECURITIES EXCHANGE AGREEMENT;
15 16	v. JAY WESTERDAL, an individual;	2) BREACH OF EMPLOYMENT AND NON-COMPETE AGREEMENTS;
17	PER WESTERDAL, an individual; RAY BERO, an individual; CAMERON JONES, an individual;	3) BREACH OF FIDUCIARY
18	CAMERON JONES, an individual; and DOES 1 through 10	DUTY; 4) BREACH OF THE COVENANT
19 20	Defendants.	4) BREACH OF THE COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING; AND
21		5) ACCOUNTING
22		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	OHS West:260650493.5	COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Thought Convergence, Inc. ("TCI") and Name Intelligence, LLC ("NIL" and collectively with TCI, "Plaintiffs"), hereby demand a jury trial on their Complaint and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

This case concerns a blatant breach of contract by the sellers of an 1. 5 internet business to TCI, the breach of an employment agreement and noncompete 6 agreement by one of sellers' principals, Jay Westerdal, and Mr. Westerdal's breach 7 of fiduciary duties owed to Plaintiffs. Despite Mr. Westerdal's assurances that his 8 business was set for immediate and explosive growth and that Mr. Westerdal would 9 commit his full business effort to running the business after its acquisition, neither 10 proved to be true. Rather, Mr. Westerdal materially misrepresented and omitted 11 key information about the condition and nature of his business to extract a 12 hyperinflated purchase price. Following the acquisition, Mr. Westerdal failed to 13 assist the business in any meaningful way, used company assets as his own personal 14 bank account and began developing a competing business in violation of his duties 15 and contractual obligations to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have suffered untold damage by 16 virtue of these breaches, creating substantial offsets to the purchase price for the 17 business. By this action, Plaintiffs are exercising their right to set-off the amounts 18 to which they are entitled by virtue the claims described herein against the First 19 Post-Closing Payment due to NII, if any. 20

21

1

2

3

4

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. §1332(c) as Plaintiffs and
 Defendants are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds
 \$75,000 exclusive of interest and costs.

3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(2) as a
 substantial amount or part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim
 occurred in this district. Venue is also proper in this Court pursuant to Section 7.11
 of the Securities Exchange Agreement which requires that any action arising out of

or relating to the agreement be brought in the State of California, County of Los Angeles.

3

1

2

PARTIES

Plaintiff TCI is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a corporation 4. 4 duly organized pursuant to the laws of the state of Delaware, with its principal place 5 of business in Los Angeles, California. TCI is an industry-leading provider of 6 domain management, monetization and development tools and technologies for 7 premium domain owners and aggregators of high quality domain portfolios. 8 Plaintiff Name Intelligence, LLC ("NIL") is, and at relevant times 9 5. herein mentioned was, a limited liability company organized in the state of 10 Delaware with citizenship in California. 11 On information and belief, Defendant Mr. Jay Westerdal ("J. 6. 12 Westerdal") is an individual residing in Mercer Island, Washington. J. Westerdal 13 was the co-founder, former CEO and President of NII, is the Chief Product Officer 14 of NIL and TCI and is a member of the TCI board of directors. 15 On information and belief, Defendant Mr. Per Westerdal ("P. 7. 16 Westerdal") is an individual residing in Bellevue, Washington. 17 On information and belief, Defendant Mr. Ray Bero ("R. Bero") is an 8. 18 individual residing in Seattle, Washington. 19 On information and belief, Defendant Mr. Cameron Jones ("C. Jones") 9. 20 is an individual residing in Seattle, Washington. 21 J. Westerdal, P. Westerdal, R. Bero and C. Jones were each controlling 22 10. shareholders of NII (the "Shareholders"). 23 TCI is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued 11. 24 herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by 25 such fictitious names. TCI will amend this Complaint to allege their true names 26 and capacities when ascertained. TCI is informed and believes and thereon alleges 27 that each of the fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for the 28

occurrences herein alleged, and that TCI's damages as herein alleged were proximately caused by such Defendants. 2

TCI is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the 3 12. Defendants named herein are the partners, joint venturers, alter egos, agents, 4 principals, representatives, employees, servants, successors or predecessors of the 5 other Defendants, each and all of them, with respect to the matters alleged herein. 6 The Defendants named herein acted within the course and scope of their authority 7 as such partners, joint venturers, alter egos, agents, principals, representatives, 8 employees, servants, successors or predecessors, and with the consent, ratification 9 and approval of their co-Defendants in doing the things alleged herein. 10

11

1

THE TRANSACTION

In late 2007, representatives of TCI's predecessor in interest 13. 12 approached J. Westerdal concerning the potential acquisition of NII's businesses, 13 Name Intelligence, LLC and Spry.Com, Inc. ("Spry" and together with NIL, the 14 "NIL Businesses"). 15

The NIL Businesses consisted of online tools for domain data 16 14. aggregation, analysis and management, and a virtual private server web hosting 17 services business. 18

During the negotiations, J. Westerdal detailed how the NIL Businesses 15. 19 would be complimentary to TCI's business operations and assured TCI that the NIL 20 Businesses would be immediately accretive to TCI's bottom line. J. Westerdal also 21 promised that the NIL Businesses were growing year to year, and set for 22 "immediate and explosive growth." Indeed, J. Westerdal assured TCI that the 23 second and third installment of the agreed upon purchase price (as described below) 24 would be "easily paid by the net profits" of the NIL Businesses as reflected in the 25 Financial Statements of the NIL Businesses. 26

- 27
- 28

1	16. To coax TCI into the transaction, J. Westerdal also presented TCI with							
2	an Executive Summary of the NIL Businesses. The Executive Summary detailed							
3	that revenue generated from a portion of the NIL Businesses totaled between \$3 and							
4	\$4 million dollars. The Executive Summary further indicated that the businesses'							
5	Domain Roundtable Conferences would be held twice a year and that it would							
6	contribute significant additional revenue to the NIL Businesses.							
7	17. J. Westerdal further detailed that he had received another offer to							
8	purchase the NIL Businesses for a guaranteed sum of \$60 million in cash and that							
9	he would proceed with that transaction unless TCI immediately agreed to purchase							
10	the NIL Businesses.							
11	18. Based on these representations, and others, TCI agreed to acquire the							
12	NIL Businesses for \$16 million dollars and stock in TCI.							
13	THE TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS							
14	19. The transaction to acquire the NIL Businesses included several							
15	agreements, including, among others, the Securities Exchange Agreement dated							
16	May 2, 2008, by and among TCI, TrafficZ, Inc., NII, and each of the Shareholders							
17	(the "Securities Exchange Agreement") ¹ , Offer Letters dated May 28, 2009							
18	between NIL and/or Spry.com, Inc. and the individual Shareholders (the "Offer							
19	Letters") ² , Restricted Stock Agreements dated May 2, 2008, by and among TCI and							
20	NII, J. Westerdal, P. Westerdal, R. Bero and C. Jones (the "Restricted Stock							
21	Agreement") ³ , Noncompetition Agreements dated May 2, 2008, by and between							
22	TCI and each individual Shareholder (the "Noncompetition Agreement") ⁴ and the							
23	Confidential Information and Invention Assignment Agreement ("Information and							
24								
25	¹ A true and correct copy of the Securities and Exchange Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by this reference. ² A true and correct copy of the Offer Letter between NIL and J. Westerdal is							
26	attached hereto as Exhibit B and incorporated herein by this reference.							
27	³ A true and correct copy of the Restricted Stock Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit C.							
28	⁴ A true and correct copy of the Noncompetition Agreement between TCI and J. Westerdal is attached hereto as Exhibit D and incorporated herein by this reference.							

1	Invention Agreement"). ⁵ The foregoing agreements are collectively referred to
2	herein as the "Transaction Documents." ⁶
3	20. As part of the purchase of the NIL Businesses, Shareholders made
4	certain representations and warranties concerning the transaction. Among others,
5	Shareholders represented and warranted as follows:
6	3.6 <u>Financial Statements</u> . The Company has delivered to
7	Parent the unaudited balance sheet, and the related unaudited
8	statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows of the Company as of and for the twelve (12)
9	months ended December 31, 2007 (the "Financial
10	Statements"). The Financial Statements (a) are true, accurate and compete in all material respects, (b) are consistent with the
11	books and records of the Company, (c) present fairly and
12	accurately the financial condition of the Company in all material respects as of the date thereof and the results of
13	operations, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows of
14	the Company for the periods covered thereby, and (d) have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, applied on a
15	consistent basis through the periods covered.
15 16	consistent basis through the periods covered.

16	
16 17	***
16 17 18	*** 3.13 Intellectual Property. (g) No Violation; Restriction.
16 17 18 19	*** 3.13 Intellectual Property. (g) No Violation; Restriction. (i) the operation of the Business as it is currently conducted, including, but not limited to, the design,
16 17 18 19 20	*** 3.13 <u>Intellectual Property</u> . (g) No Violation; Restriction. (i) the operation of the Business as it is currently conducted, including, but not limited to, the design, development, use, import, branding, advertising, promotion,
16 17 18 19 20 21	 *** 3.13 <u>Intellectual Property</u>. (g) No Violation; Restriction. (i) the operation of the Business as it is currently conducted, including, but not limited to, the design, development, use, import, branding, advertising, promotion, marketing, manufacture and sale of any of the Company's or any of its Subsidiaries' products (including any currently
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 *** 3.13 <u>Intellectual Property</u>. (g) No Violation; Restriction. (i) the operation of the Business as it is currently conducted, including, but not limited to, the design, development, use, import, branding, advertising, promotion, marketing, manufacture and sale of any of the Company's or any of its Subsidiaries' products (including any currently under development) or services, does not and will not, and will
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 *** 3.13 <u>Intellectual Property</u>. (g) No Violation; Restriction. (i) the operation of the Business as it is currently conducted, including, but not limited to, the design, development, use, import, branding, advertising, promotion, marketing, manufacture and sale of any of the Company's or any of its Subsidiaries' products (including any currently
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	*** 3.13 Intellectual Property. (g) No Violation; Restriction. (i) the operation of the Business as it is currently conducted, including, but not limited to, the design, development, use, import, branding, advertising, promotion, marketing, manufacture and sale of any of the Company's or any of its Subsidiaries' products (including any currently under development) or services, does not and will not, and will not when operated by Parent, any of its subsidiaries or NI LLC substantially in the same manner following the Closing,
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	 *** 3.13 Intellectual Property. (g) No Violation; Restriction. (i) the operation of the Business as it is currently conducted, including, but not limited to, the design, development, use, import, branding, advertising, promotion, marketing, manufacture and sale of any of the Company's or any of its Subsidiaries' products (including any currently under development) or services, does not and will not, and will not when operated by Parent, any of its subsidiaries or NI LLC substantially in the same manner following the Closing,
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 *** 3.13 <u>Intellectual Property</u>. (g) No Violation; Restriction. (i) the operation of the Business as it is currently conducted, including, but not limited to, the design, development, use, import, branding, advertising, promotion, marketing, manufacture and sale of any of the Company's or any of its Subsidiaries' products (including any currently under development) or services, does not and will not, and will not when operated by Parent, any of its subsidiaries or NI LLC substantially in the same manner following the Closing, ⁵ A true and correct copy of the Information and Invention Agreement is attached

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

infringe or misappropriate any IP rights of any Person ... or constitute unfair competition or trade practices under the laws of any jurisdiction ...

3.14 Taxes.

(a) All Tax Returns required to be filed by, or with respect to, the Company or any of its Subsidiaries on or prior to the Effective Date have been timely filed and all Taxes that are due and payable (whether or not shown on any Tax Return) have been timely paid or have been accrued for on the Financial Statements. Neither the Company nor any of its Subsidiaries is delinquent in the payment of assessment or governmental charge with respect to such Tax Returns. All federal, state, local and foreign income Tax Returns filed by, or with respect to, the Company or any of its Subsidiaries are true, correct and compete in all material respects....

3.18 <u>Compliance with Laws</u>. The Company and its Subsidiaries are, and have been in compliance in all material respects with all Legal Requirements applicable to the Company or any of its Subsidiaries or their operations....

3.24 <u>Full Disclosure</u>. None of the representations or warranties made by the Company herein or in the Disclosure Schedule, the other Transaction Documents or any certificate furnished by the Company pursuant to this Agreement, when all such documents are read together in their entirety, contains or will contain any untrue statement of material fact, or omits to state any material fact necessary in order to make the statements contained herein or therein, in light of the circumstances under which made, not misleading.

3.28 Shareholder Domain Names. Schedule 3.28 contains a 1 true and complete list of each Domain Name registered in the 2 name of any Shareholder (the "Shareholder Domain Names"). The Shareholder Domain Names have not been acquired using 3 any of the assets of the Company 4 5 Shareholders also covenanted to, among other things, the following: 21. 6 Expenses. Whether or not the Transaction is 5.1 7 consummated, except as otherwise provided herein, all fees and expenses incurred in connection with the Transaction, 8 including, but not limited to, all legal, accounting, financial, 9 advisory, consulting and all other fees and expenses of third 10 parties incurred by a party in connection with the negotiation and effectuation of the terms and conditions of such 11 Transaction Documents and the Transaction, shall be the 12 obligation of the respective party incurring such fees and expenses; provided, that Shareholders shall pay all fees and 13 expenses of the Company or Shareholders incurred in 14 connection or with, or otherwise arising as a result of, the Transaction Documents and the Transaction.... 15 16 *** 5.9 Confidentiality and Assignment Agreements. 17 Shareholders shall take all commercially reasonable measures 18 to obtain confidentiality and assignment agreements in the form acceptable to Parent from each of the current and former 19 employees of the Company who prior to the Closing Date have 20 not signed a form of such agreement... 21 *** 22 5.10 Third Party Consents. If any of the Required Contents as 23 defined and set forth in Schedule 3.3 are not obtained and 24 delivered by the Company or Shareholders immediately prior to or as of the Closing, but notwithstanding, the Transaction 25 contemplated hereunder is consummated, following the 26 Closing, Shareholders shall take all commercially reasonable measures to obtain such Required Consents from third 27 parties... 28

C	ase 2:09-cv-03	088-R-AJW	Document 1	Filed 05/01/2009	Page 9 of 21				
1	22.	In addition t	o the represent	ations, warranties a	nd covenants, J.				
2	Westerdal also agreed to devote his full attention to the business operations after the								
3	acquisition and not to compete with TCI.								
4	23.	Specifically,	in the Offer L	etter J. Westerdal a	greed that:				
5		Obligations	to the Compa	ny . During your E	mployment, you				
6	1	shall devote	your full busin	ess efforts and time	e to the Company.				
7	During your Employment, without prior written approval of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, you shall not render								
8		services in a	ny capacity to	any other person or	entity and shall				
9		not act as a s entity or ow	sole proprietor n more than fiv	or partner of any ot e percent of the sto	ick of any other				
10		corporation.		-					
11	24.	Likewise in	the Noncompe	tition Agreement, J	. Westerdal				
12	acknowledge	ed and agree	d that:						
13			1:4:	llingnass to alogo th	a Evalance				
14				llingness to close th greement, Though					
15				ler agree, and Stock on and nonsolicitat					
16				ements provided in					
17		D Dy his si	anatura halow	Stockholder ackno	wledges and				
18		agreed that (a) his executio	n and delivery of th	nis Agreement is a				
19				ought Convergence consummate the tra					
20		contemplate	d thereby and (b) he will receive r	naterial financial				
21			other goods an	d valuable conside	ration from the				
22		Exchange.							
23				* * *					
24		1. <u>Nonc</u>	ompetition.						
25		(b)	During the new	iod which shall cor	nmence at the				
26			• •	Exchange Agreeme					
27				ter into, participate tion with, or othery					
28									

COMPLAINT

 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 		
 below), (ii) directly or indirectly (including without limitation, through any Affiliate (as defined below) of Stockholder, own, manage, operate, control or otherwise engage or participate in, or be connected as an owner, partner, principal, creditor, salesman, guarantor, advisor, member of the board of directors of, employee of or consultant in (B) any entity or business, division, group, or other subset of any business, engaged (or to Stockholders' knowledge, planning to engage) in the Restricted Business in the Business Area or (iii) make preparations to provide assistance to any Restricted Business or to form a Restricted Business in the Business Area, including but not limited to any research or development efforts aimed at ultimately benefiting a Restricted Business. 25. On May 2, 2008, the transaction closed and TCI began operating the NIL Businesses as a subsidiary of TCI. J. WESTERDAL AND DEFENDANTS' BREACH 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 	L	be interested economically in the Restricted Business (as
 through any Affiliate (as defined below) of Stockholder, own, manage, operate, control or otherwise engage or participate in, or be connected as an owner, partner, principal, creditor, salesman, guarantor, advisor, member of the board of directors of, employee of or consultant in (B) any entity or business, division, group, or other subset of any business, engaged (or to Stockholders' knowledge, planning to engage) in the Restricted Business in the Business Area or (iii) make preparations to provide assistance to any Restricted Business or to form a Restricted Business in the Business Area, including but not limited to any research or development efforts aimed at ultimately benefiting a Restricted Business. 25. On May 2, 2008, the transaction closed and TCI began operating the NIL Businesses as a subsidiary of TCI. J. WESTERDAL AND DEFENDANTS' BREACH 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 	2	defined below) anywhere in the Business Area (as defined below) (ii) directly or indirectly (including without limitation,
 or be connected as an owner, partner, principal, creditor, salesman, guarantor, advisor, member of the board of directors of, employee of or consultant in (B) any entity or business, division, group, or other subset of any business, engaged (or to Stockholders' knowledge, planning to engage) in the Restricted Business in the Business Area or (iii) make preparations to provide assistance to any Restricted Business or to form a Restricted Business in the Business Area, including but not limited to any research or development efforts aimed at ultimately benefiting a Restricted Business. 25. On May 2, 2008, the transaction closed and TCI began operating the NIL Businesses as a subsidiary of TCI. J. WESTERDAL AND DEFENDANTS' BREACH 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 	3	through any Affiliate (as defined below) of Stockholder, own,
 salesman, guarantor, advisor, member of the board of directors of, employee of or consultant in (B) any entity or business, division, group, or other subset of any business, engaged (or to Stockholders' knowledge, planning to engage) in the Restricted Business in the Business Area or (iii) make preparations to provide assistance to any Restricted Business or to form a Restricted Business in the Business Area, including but not limited to any research or development efforts aimed at ultimately benefiting a Restricted Business. 25. On May 2, 2008, the transaction closed and TCI began operating the NIL Businesses as a subsidiary of TCI. J. WESTERDAL AND DEFENDANTS' BREACH 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 	4	manage, operate, control or otherwise engage or participate in,
 of, employee of or consultant in (B) any entity or business, division, group, or other subset of any business, engaged (or to Stockholders' knowledge, planning to engage) in the Restricted Business in the Business Area or (iii) make preparations to provide assistance to any Restricted Business or to form a Restricted Business in the Business Area, including but not limited to any research or development efforts aimed at ultimately benefiting a Restricted Business. 25. On May 2, 2008, the transaction closed and TCI began operating the NIL Businesses as a subsidiary of TCI. J. WESTERDAL AND DEFENDANTS' BREACH 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 	5	salesman, guarantor, advisor, member of the board of directors
 Stockholders' knowledge, planning to engage) in the Restricted Business in the Business Area or (iii) make preparations to provide assistance to any Restricted Business or to form a Restricted Business in the Business Area, including but not limited to any research or development efforts aimed at ultimately benefiting a Restricted Business. 25. On May 2, 2008, the transaction closed and TCI began operating the NIL Businesses as a subsidiary of TCI. J. WESTERDAL AND DEFENDANTS' BREACH 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 	5	of, employee of or consultant in (B) any entity or business,
 Business in the Business Area or (iii) make preparations to provide assistance to any Restricted Business or to form a Restricted Business in the Business Area, including but not limited to any research or development efforts aimed at ultimately benefiting a Restricted Business. 25. On May 2, 2008, the transaction closed and TCI began operating the NIL Businesses as a subsidiary of TCI. J. WESTERDAL AND DEFENDANTS' BREACH 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 	7	Stockholders' knowledge, planning to engage) in the Restricted
Restricted Business in the Business Area, including but not limited to any research or development efforts aimed at ultimately benefiting a Restricted Business. 25. On May 2, 2008, the transaction closed and TCI began operating the NIL Businesses as a subsidiary of TCI. J. WESTERDAL AND DEFENDANTS' BREACH 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did	3	Business in the Business Area or (iii) make preparations to
 limited to any research or development efforts aimed at ultimately benefiting a Restricted Business. 25. On May 2, 2008, the transaction closed and TCI began operating the NIL Businesses as a subsidiary of TCI. J. WESTERDAL AND DEFENDANTS' BREACH 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 	2	provide assistance to any Restricted Business or to form a Restricted Business in the Business Area including but not
 25. On May 2, 2008, the transaction closed and TCI began operating the NIL Businesses as a subsidiary of TCI. J. WESTERDAL AND DEFENDANTS' BREACH 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 	5	limited to any research or development efforts aimed at
 NIL Businesses as a subsidiary of TCI. J. WESTERDAL AND DEFENDANTS' BREACH 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 	L	
 J. WESTERDAL AND DEFENDANTS' BREACH 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 	2	
 26. Following the transaction close and the integration of the NIL Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 	3	
 Businesses into TCI, TCI began to uncover material differences between the oral and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 	1	
 and written representations made and in the Transaction Documents and the reality of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 		
of the NIL Businesses. 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did		
 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 		
 27. For example, despite assertions that he NIL Businesses were growing year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 		
 year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses produced flat revenues for all of 2008. 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 		
 28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did 		year over year and set for explosive and immediate growth, the NIL Businesses
 the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete 		produced flat revenues for all of 2008.
 price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation. 29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete 		28. Additionally, despite Shareholders' assertions that the net profits from
29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete		the NIL Businesses would pay for the second and third installments of the purchase
representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement. By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did		price, the net profits were severely deficient to meet that obligation.
representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement.By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and completeFinancial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did		29. Likewise, TCI discovered that Shareholders failed to honor the
By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did		representations, warranties and covenants in the Securities Exchange Agreement.
Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP and those Financial Statements did		By way of example, Shareholders did not provide true, accurate and complete
	- 1	

not fairly or accurately represent the NIL Businesses' then present financial
 condition.

30. TCI also discovered that J. Westerdal was shirking his responsibilities,
duties and obligations to TCI. J. Westerdal failed to keep regular business hours,
failed to attend strategy and other business meetings and failed to manage
employees and clients. Instead, J. Westerdal would take extended vacations and
brag to third parties that he need not attend to his duties as Chief Product Officer of
NIL.

9 31. J. Westerdal also used company assets as his own personal bank
10 account, loaning himself money and giving himself raises without authorization.
11 When challenged, J. Westerdal informed TCI that the purpose of the loan and raise
12 was to bolster his application for a bank loan to purchase his primary residence.

32. TCI remains ready, willing and able to perform by paying the First
Post Closing Payment, subject to all off-sets due to Defendants breaches described
herein as permitted by sections 6.7 and 6.8 of the Securities Exchange Agreement.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Breach of Contract

(Against Shareholders)

19 33. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 though 32
20 above as though fully set forth herein.

34. Plaintiffs have complied with and fully performed its obligations,
 conditions, covenants and promises required pursuant to the Securities Exchange
 Agreement, has notified Defendants that it is ready, willing and able to perform, or
 is otherwise excused from said performance based on the breaches alleged herein.
 Shareholders have violated their contractual obligations under the

26 Securities Exchange Agreement by, among other things:

a) breaching the representations and warranties in section 3.6 by,
among other things:

16

17

C	ase 2.09-cv-03060-reader 2 of 21						
1	(i) misrepresenting or omitting material information						
2	concerning the financial condition of the NIL Businesses;						
3	(ii) failing to provide a fair and accurate financial condition						
4	of the NIL Businesses;						
5	(iii) failing to provide Financial Statements in accordance with						
6	GAAP;						
7	b) failing to properly account for and pay pre-acquisition tax						
8	obligations;						
9	c) failing to disclose potential risks and liabilities concerning the use						
10	of third party copyright;						
11	d) failing to disclose potential risks and liabilities concerning the use						
12	of applications on third party computers in connection with information gathering						
13	for use with the DomainTools services;						
14	e) using TCI funds to make payments to employees under the Stock						
15	Right Cancellation Agreements between NII and such employees;						
16	f) using TCI funds to pay NII's attorney fees related to the acquisition						
17	and attorneys fees related to litigation with Stephen Douglas;						
18	g) breaching the representation and warranty in Section 3.28 of the						
19	Exchange Agreement that none of the Shareholder Domain Names (as defined in						
20	the Exchange Agreement) were acquired using any of the assets of NII;						
21	h) breaching the covenant in Section 5.9 of the Exchange Agreement						
22	to use commercially reasonable efforts to obtain confidentiality and assignment						
23	agreements from current and former employees that had not signed such an						
24	agreement as of the closing date; and						
25	i) breaching the covenant in Section 5.10 of the Exchange Agreement						
26	to use commercially reasonable efforts to obtained all required consents from third						
27	parties.						
28	36. As a consequence of Shareholders' breach, Plaintiff has suffered						
	OHS West:260650493.5 - 11 - COMPLAINT						

l

1	damage in an amount to be proven at trial.							
2	37. Plaintiffs are entitled to offset the remaining Post-Closing Payments as							
3	a direct setoff pursuant to section 6.7 of the Security Exchange Agreement.							
4	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF							
5	Breach of Contract							
6	(Against J. Westerdal)							
7	38. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 though 37							
8	above as though fully set forth herein.							
9	39. Plaintiff has complied with and fully performed its obligations,							
10	conditions, covenants and promises required pursuant to the Offer Letter and							
11	Noncompetition Agreement with J. Westerdal, has notified J. Westerdal that it is							
12	ready, willing and able to perform, or is otherwise excused from said performance							
13	based on the breaches alleged herein.							
14	40. J. Westerdal has violated and/or breached his obligations under the							
15	Offer Letter and Noncompetition Agreement by, among other things:							
16	a) founding, developing, owning and operating a competing							
17	DotMovie company during his employment with TCI;							
18	b) raising \$2 to \$5 million in capital for the DotMovie company at the							
19	same time J. Westerdal was supposed to be devoting his full business effort and							
20	time to the company;							
21	c) usurping TCI business opportunities by attending conferences on							
22	behalf of TCI, but promoting his own business opportunities, including the							
23	DotMovie company;							
24	d) using TCI funds for the benefit of his DotMovie business;							
25	e) unilaterally raising his salary without authorization; and							
26	f) submitting expenses under the guise that they were incurred in							
27	connection with TCI's business.							
28	41. In the Noncompetition Agreement, J. Westerdal agreed that breach of							
	OHS West:260650493.5 - 12 - COMPLAINT							

1	"the provisions of this Agreement (including but not limited to those set forth in
2	Section 1(b) below) would cause irreparable harm to Company and Thought
3	Convergence, which harm will not be adequately and fully redressed by the
4	payment of damages to Company and Thought Convergence."
5	42. As a consequence of J. Westerdal's breaches, Plaintiffs have suffered
6	damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
7	43. As a consequence of J. Westerdal's breaches, Plaintiffs are also
8	entitled to injunctive relief, enjoining J. Westerdal from wrongfully competing
9	against TCI.
10	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
11	Breach of Fiduciary Duty
12	(Against J. Westerdal)
13	44. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 though 43
14	above as though fully set forth herein.
15	45. J. Westerdal was at all relevant times an officer and board of director
16	member of TCI and NIL. As such, J. Westerdal owed TCI and NIL duties of
17	loyalty and a fiduciary duties to, among other things, act in good faith and in a
18	manner that benefits TCI and NIL and not to act for those whose interests,
19	including J. Westerdal's own, conflict with TCI and NIL. Due to such duties, J
20	Westerdal was and is prohibited from competing with TCI and NIL or attempting to
21	appropriate TCI's or NIL's business or profits for his own personal benefit.
22	46. By the actions alleged herein, J. Westerdal breached that duty of
23	loyalty and fiduciary duty to TCI and NIL.
24	47. As a consequence of J. Westerdal's breach, Plaintiffs have suffered
25	damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
26	48. J. Westerdal engaged in the foregoing conduct maliciously and with
27	the intent of injuring TCI and NIL and/or with knowing indifference to the
28	likelihood that this conduct would injure TCI and NIL. Plaintiffs therefore requests

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

an award of exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish J. Westerdal for his conduct. FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Breach of the Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing (Against Shareholders) Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 though 48 49. above as though fully set forth herein. California law implies a covenant of good faith and fair dealing in all 50. contracts between parties entered in the State of California. As a result of the actions set forth hereinabove, Defendants breached 51. the covenant of good faith and fair dealing implied in the Transaction Documents, and as a result thereof, Plaintiffs are entitled to damages as prayed. FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Accounting (Against J. Westerdal) Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 52. 51 above as though fully set forth herein. J. Westerdal covenanted in the Securities Exchange Agreement that he 53. expended \$430,000 in connection with the legitimate business expenses for the Company. Plaintiffs are entitled to an Accounting to determine if those 54. expenditures were in fact legitimate business expenses. Plaintiffs seek an Order form the Court for such an accounting, access 55. to J. Westerdal's book and records, and the issuance of tax and financial information concerning those expenditures. - 14 -OHS West:260650493.5

C	ase 2:09-cv-0	3088- B AJW	Document 1	Filed 05/01/2009	Page 16 of 21					
1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF									
2	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for the following relief:									
3	1.									
4	2.	Compensatory damages, as well as incidental and consequential								
5	damages in	ges in a sum according to proof;								
6	3.	Exemplary	damages accor	ding to proof at tria	1,					
7	4.	For an injur	nction, enjoinin	ng J. Westerdal from	wrongfully competing					
8	against TC	I or NIL or ot	herwise breach	ning is obligations a	nd duties to TCI and					
9	NIL;									
10	5.	For an Orde	er that an appro	priate accounting b	e made, access be					
11	provided to	o all appropria	te books and r	ecords of J. Westerd	lal and J. Westerdal's					
12	financial a	nd tax docum	ents be issued;							
13	6.	For an awar	d of reasonabl	e attorneys' fees as	authorized by section					
14	7.12 of the Securities Exchange Agreement and applicable statutory provisions;									
15	7.	For costs of	f suit herein inc	curred, and						
16	8.	For such of	her and further	relief as the Court	deems proper.					
17	Deted	May 1, 200	o K	ENT B. GOSS						
18	Dated:	Way 1, 200	Ć	HRISTOPHER J. C	HAUDOIR TON & SUTCLIFFE LLP					
19			0							
20				CHRISTOPH	FRI CHAUDOIR #21732					
21				Attorney	vs for Plaintiff VERGENCE, INC. and					
22				NAME INTE	LLIGENCE, INC.					
23										
24										
25										
26										
27										
28		· · · · ·								
	OHS West:260650	493.5		- 15 -	COMPLAINT					

C	ase 2:09-cv-03088-	/ Document 1	Filed 05/01/2009	Page 17 of 21					
1		DEMAND I	FOR JURY TRIAL						
2	Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.								
3	Dated: May 1, 20)09 k	KENT B. GOSS CHRISTOPHER J. C						
4		(ORRICK HERRING	TON & SUTCLIFFE LLF					
5			a la	10 ASS. Freiling					
6		_	CHRISTOPH	ER J. CHAUDOIR #2737					
7			THOUGHT CON NAME INTE	vs for Plaintiff VERGENCE, INC. and ELLIGENCE, INC.					
8				,					
9 10									
10									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22 23									
23 24									
24									
26									
27									
28									
	OHS West:260650493.5		- 16 -	COMPLAINT					

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Ronald S. W. Lew and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Andrew J. Wistrich.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV09- 3088 RSWL (AJWx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X] Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
CASE NUMBER 09-3088 FOR 1
SUMMONS

TO: DEFENDANT(S): _____

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

MAY - 1 2009

Within <u>20</u> days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached \square complaint \square ______ amended complaint \square counterclaim \square cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, ______, whose address is <u>777 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 3200, Los Angeles, CA 90017</u>. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

Clerk, U.S. District Court

By: <u>I latalie</u> **Deputy Clerk**

(Seal of the Court)

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

Dated: ___

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) THOUGHT CONVERGENCE, INC., a Delaware corporation NAME INTELLIGENCE, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company				DEFENDANTS JAY WESTERDAL, an individual; PER WESTERDAL, an individual; RAY BFRO, an individual; CAMERON JONES, an individual; and DOES 1 through 10						
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, A yourself, provide same.)	ddress and Telephone Number. I	f you are	representing	Attorneys (If Known)						
KENT B. GOSS / ORR 777 S. Figueroa Street, S Los Angeles, CA 90017										
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIC	ON (Place an X in one box only.)			HIP OF PRINCIPAL in one box for plaintiff				es Only		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Part		Citizen of This S			DEF	Incorporated or of Business in th		PTF ₩4	DEF □4
2 U.S. Government Defendar	nt 1 4 Diversity (Indicate Citi of Parties in Item III)	zenship	Citizen of Anoth	er State	□ 2	□ 2	Incorporated and of Business in A	d Principal Place Another State	□ 5	5
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			Citizen or Subjec	t of a Foreign Country		□ 3	Foreign Nation	······································		
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or	• /									
■ 1 Original □ 2 Remov Proceeding State C			instated or 5 opened	Transferred from anoth	ner dist	rict (spe	Dist	rict Judg	eal to E e from istrate	
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL	LAINT: JURY DEMAND: 🙇	Yes 🗆	No (Check 'Yes'	only if demanded in co	mplain	t.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	C.P. 23: Ves A-No			ONEY DEMANDED	IN CO	MPLA	INT: \$			···-
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cit 28 U.S.C. Section 1332	te the U.S. Civil Statute under wh	ich you a	re filing and write	a brief statement of ca	use. D	o not cit	e jurisdictional st	tatutes unless dive	rsity.)	
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Plac	ce an X in one box only.)									
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT		TORTS	TORTS		P	RISONER	LAB	OR	
□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust	□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine		SONAL INJURY Airplane	PERSONAL PROPERTY			ETITIONS Motions to	□ 710 Fair Lat	or Star	ndards
430 Banks and Banking	130 Miller Act		Airplane Product	□ 370 Other Fraud			Vacate Sentence	Act 720 Labor/N	lgmt.	
□ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.	□ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of	□ 320	Liability Assault, Libel &	□ 371 Truth in Len □ 380 Other Person	<u> </u>	」 530(Habeas Corpus	Relation		
□ 460 Deportation	Overpayment &		Slander	Property Da			Death Penalty	□ 730 Labor/N Reportir		
□ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt	Enforcement of Judgment	□ 330	Fed. Employers' Liability	□ 385 Property Day				Disclosu		
Organizations	□ 151 Medicare Act		Marine	Product Liat BANKRUPTCY			Other Civil Rights	□ 740 Railway □ 790 Other La		Act
□ 480 Consumer Credit	□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted		Marine Product Liability	□ 422 Appeal 28 U			Prison Condition	Litigatio		
□ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service	Student Loan (Excl. Veterans)	□ 350	Motor Vehicle	158 423 Withdrawal	28		EFEITURE /	□ 791 Empl. R Security		
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/	□ 153 Recovery of	1 355	Motor Vehicle Product Liability	USC 157		⊐610 A	Agriculture	PROPERTY		TS
Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12	Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits		Other Personal	□ 441 Voting	6 C		Other Food & Drug	□ 820 Copyrigi □ 830 Patent	ıts	
USC 3410	□,160 Stockholders' Suits		Injury Personal Injury-	□ 442 Employment	: [Drug Related	□ 840 Tradema	rk	
□ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Act	■ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product		Med Malpractice	□ 443 Housing/Acc mmodations	-0		Seizure of	SOCIAL SE		ſΥ
B92 Economic Stabilization	Liability		Personal Injury- Product Liability	□ 444 Welfare			81	□ 861 HIA (13 □ 862 Black Lu		3)
Act 893 Environmental Matters	□ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY		Asbestos Personal				•	□ 863 DIWC/D	IWW	
	□ 210 Land Condemnation		Injury Product Liability	Disabilities Employment			R.R. & Truck Airline Regs	(405(g)) □ 864 SSID Tit	le XVI	
□ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	□ 220 Foreclosure		MIGRATION	446 American wi		3660 C	Occupational	🗆 865 RSI (405	(g))	
□ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi- nation Under Equal	□ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land		Application	Disabilities - Other		S 1690 C	afety /Health Other	FEDERAL TA		
Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	□ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property		Habeas Corpus- Alien Detainee Other Immigration	□ 440 Other Civil Rights				or Defen □ 871 IRS-Thir	dant) d Party	
		'	Actions					USC 760	,	
			VUY -	-3()88						
	Case Number:	V					[.]			
AFTER CO	OMPLETING THE FRONT SI	DE OF F	ORM CV-71, CO	OMPLETE THE INFO	ORMA	TION I	REQUESTED B	ELOW.		

Page 21 of 21 Case 2:09-cv-03088-R-AJW Document 1 Filed 05/01/2009 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has this action been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? I No 🗆 Yes If yes, list case number(s):

VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? VN 🗆 Yes If yes, list case number(s):

Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case:

- B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or
- C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or
- D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.

IX. VENUE: (When completing the following information, use an additional sheet if necessary.)

List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. (a)

Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).

County in this District:*	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country
THOUGHT CONVERGENCE, INC LOS ANGELES NAME INTELLIGENCE, LLC - LOS ANGELES	

(b) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).

County in this District:*	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
	JAY WESTERDAL-Washington PER WESTERDAL-Washington CAMERON JONES-Washington	RAY BERO-Washington

List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. (c) Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved

Note. In fand condemnation cases, use the focution of the trace of hill interior		
County in this District:*	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
CA county		

* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, Riverside, Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties

1 Note: In land condemnation cases, use the location of the tract of land involved

X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):

Date May 1, 2009

Notice to Counsel/Parties: The CV-71 (JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)

Key to Statistical codes relating to Social Security Cases:

Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))